

Numerical simulations of scattering speckle from phase ordering systems

Gregory Brown¹, Per Arne Rikvold^{1,2,3}, Martin Grant¹

¹*Centre for the Physics of Materials, McGill University,*

3600 rue University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2T8

²*Department of Fundamental Sciences, Faculty of Integrated Human Studies*

Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

³*Center for Materials Research and Technology,*

Supercomputer Computations Research Institute, and Department of Physics

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-3016

(February 6, 2008)

Abstract

The scattering of coherent X-rays from dynamically evolving systems is currently becoming experimentally feasible. The scattered beam produces a pattern of bright and dark speckles, which fluctuate almost independently in time and can be used to study the dynamics of the system. Here we report large-scale computer simulations of the speckle dynamics for a phase ordering system, using a two-dimensional model quenched through an order-disorder transition into the two-phase regime. The intensity at each wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ is found to be an exponentially distributed random variable. The scaling hypothesis is extended to the two-time correlation function of the scattering intensity at a given wave vector, $\text{Corr}(\mathbf{k}; t_1, t_2)$. The characteristic decay time difference for the correlation function, $|t_1 - t_2|_c$, is found to scale as $k|t_1 + t_2|^{1/2}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

When electromagnetic radiation is scattered from an inhomogeneous material, it undergoes phase changes which depend on which part of the material it scatters from. The resulting pattern of constructive and destructive interference at the detector depends sensitively on the geometry of domains in the scattering region. If the incident radiation is not coherent over the scattering region, this dependence is washed out by the phase differences in the incident beam. However, if the incident beam can be made coherent over the scattering volume, a speckled scattering pattern such as that shown in Fig. 1 is observed. The intensity fluctuations associated with the speckle pattern are the basis of photon correlation experiments. Over the last three decades, lasers have become available as sources of coherent radiation at wavelengths ranging from the ultraviolet to the infrared [1].

The much shorter wavelength of X-rays allows materials to be studied at smaller length scales than is possible with light, and the greater penetration allows the study of optically opaque materials. However, coherent X-rays have only become readily available with the emergence of high-intensity synchrotron photon sources. Recently, speckle patterns have been observed in several experiments using coherent synchrotron X-rays. For example, diffusion rates in gold colloids were determined from the time Brownian motion requires to change the X-ray speckle pattern [2,3], and speckle from coherent X-rays has also been used to study equilibrium fluctuations in Fe_3Al near an order-disorder transition [4].

An important situation for analyzing phase ordering processes is when the system is characterized by a single length scale R , corresponding to the average domain size, that grows asymptotically with time t as $R \propto t^n$, where n is known as the growth exponent. If the dynamics are controlled by diffusion, $n = 1/2$ for a non-conserved order parameter and $n = 1/3$ for a conserved order parameter. The significance of this for scattering experiments is that the scattering intensity at wavevector $\mathbf{k}$ is proportional to the structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, t)$. At all times in the asymptotic scaling regime the average structure factor, $\langle S(k, t) \rangle$, can be expressed in terms of a scaling function which depends on k and t only through a

dimensionless wavevector $q \propto kt^n$:

$$t^{-dn} \langle S(k, t) \rangle \propto \langle I(q) \rangle , \quad (1)$$

where d is the spatial dimensionality.

An alternative way to consider this scaling behavior, which is more useful in describing the time dependence of the fluctuations in the scattering intensities at different wavevectors, is the following. One observes that the dynamics of structures on different length scales k^{-1} become statistically indistinguishable when viewed on the corresponding time scales $\theta \propto tk^{1/n} \equiv q^{1/n}$. This can be expressed in a scaling relation equivalent to Eq. (1):

$$k^d \langle S(k, t) \rangle \propto \langle F(\theta) \rangle \equiv \theta^{nd} \langle I(\theta^n) \rangle . \quad (2)$$

In this paper we present a numerical study of a simple model for the scattering speckle observed in coherent X-ray scattering from systems undergoing phase ordering following a quench through a second-order order-disorder phase transition. This is the standard time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation with non-conserved order parameter, equivalent to Model A in the classification scheme of Hohenberg and Halperin [5]. Using standard Langevin dynamics, we study the fluctuations in $\mathbf{k}$ -space and time of the structure factor. A representative scattering pattern for the simulations conducted here is presented in Fig. 1 as a log-scale density plot. Because the simulation samples the order parameter at L^d locations in real space, the scattering function can only be sampled at L^d independent locations in $\mathbf{k}$ -space. This corresponds in a natural way to the independent speckles seen in experiments.

Based on the scaling relations in Eqs. (1) and (2) one might naively expect that the position of an individual speckle should move as $k \propto t^{-n}$ as the average structure factor changes according to the scaling form. This is not the case. Instead, individual speckles are observed to brighten and dim with significant fluctuations around the time-dependent average intensity. The evolution of the simulated speckle intensities for a cut along the k_x axis for a single simulation is presented in Fig. 2. They bear a striking similarity to recent

experimental data for Cu_3Au [6]. From this figure it is clear that as the system evolves, individual speckles do *not* travel through $\mathbf{k}$ -space. In the simulations reported here, the correlations between neighboring speckles were found to be on the order of 1%, so each speckle could be treated as independent.

In this paper the time-time correlation in the scattering intensity at fixed $\mathbf{k}$ is obtained numerically via the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau model for a non-conserved order parameter. The details of this approach are presented in the next section. Section III presents the results. The scattering intensity is shown to be an exponentially distributed random variable. The simulation results are also used to test the scaling ansatz for the two-time intensity correlation. The results here suggest that the intensity correlation time grows as $kt^{1/2}$. Section IV presents a review of this work along with some future directions we intend to pursue.

II. METHOD

We performed a standard simulation of the dynamics of phase ordering following a quench through an order-disorder phase transition in a system described by a nonconserved scalar order-parameter field $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. We used the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation,

$$\frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}[\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)]}{\delta \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)} + \zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) , \quad (3)$$

which we here have expressed in dimensionless variables for convenience. The first term in Eq. (3) corresponds to deterministic relaxation towards a minimum value of the free energy $\mathcal{F}[\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)]$, and the second term represents thermal noise. Since the late-time dynamics are controlled by a zero-temperature fixed point, we ignore the noise term, using only the deterministic part of Eq. (3).

We employed the standard Ginzburg-Landau-Wilson ψ^4 free energy [5] with unit parameters. The deterministic dynamical equation then becomes

$$\frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = (1 + \nabla^2) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) - \psi^3(\mathbf{x}, t) . \quad (4)$$

Note that by choosing the customary parameters in the free energy and the dynamical equation equal to unity, we have merely specified the length, concentration, and time scales in the simulation. Equation (4) can therefore be used to represent the low-temperature dynamics of Model A without loss of generality. Since thermal fluctuations are explicitly excluded, the randomness they introduce into the domain pattern at early times [7] is implemented by an initial condition where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ consists of independent Gaussian random numbers with mean 0 and standard deviation 0.1. The simulations were conducted on square lattices with periodic boundary conditions, lattice constant $\Delta x = 1$, and a system size of $L_x = L_y = L = 1024$. The Laplacian in Eq. (4) was approximated by the standard four-neighbor discretization, and a simple Euler integration scheme with $\Delta t = 0.05$ was used to collect data every 20 time units up to a maximum of $t = 1000$. Results were averaged over 10 independent initial conditions.

The Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}$ of ψ is defined as

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^d}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad (5)$$

where the fact that the lattice spacing is unity in all directions has been used. The Brillouin zone is defined by the discrete set of wavevectors, $k_x, k_y = 2\pi j/L$ with $j \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm (L/2 - 1), L/2\}$. The structure factor of the system is

$$S(\mathbf{k}, t) = |\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 \quad (6)$$

and is proportional to the scattering intensity observed experimentally. To be consistent with the numerical integration, the magnitude of the wavevector, $k(\mathbf{k})$, is defined using the operator relation

$$- [k(\mathbf{k})]^2 \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^d}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (7)$$

Substituting the discrete version of the Laplacian, one gets

$$k(\mathbf{k}) = \left[2 \left(d - \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \cos(k_{\alpha}) \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

This has been used for the two-time correlation function discussed in the next section where the value k is used to bin data during circular averaging and to determine the value of scaling variables. Because of lattice effects we consider only those wavevectors with $k < 1$, for which the finite interface thickness can be ignored in this work.

III. RESULTS

The measured intensity at a given $(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is a random variable with characteristics determined by the scattering system. As long as the independent domains are randomly located and oriented, and the domain size is much smaller than the system size, one may expect the central limit theorem to imply that the Fourier transformed order parameter, $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, t)$, is well approximated by a complex Gaussian random field, even if the real-space order-parameter field, $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, is not Gaussian. One consequence of this is that the probability density function for the scattering intensity $S(\mathbf{k}, t)$ can be shown to be exponential for all $\mathbf{k}$ and t [6,8,9]. The probability density for the *normalized* intensities, $s(\mathbf{k}, t) = S(\mathbf{k}, t)/\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle$, is therefore

$$P(s) = \exp(-s) , \tag{9}$$

independent of $\mathbf{k}$ and t . The probability density $P(s)$ is normalized and has unit mean and standard deviation. Since $P(s)$ is identical for all values of $\mathbf{k}$ and t , only one density function needs to be constructed. This leads to very good statistics because on the order of 10^7 samples are available. The results for all $\mathbf{k}$ and t , with $\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle$ found by averaging over wavevectors with equivalent values of $k_x^2 + k_y^2$, are presented in log-linear form in Fig. 3. The solid line is the expected density $P(s) = \exp(-s)$. For the simulation the probability density is exponential for all $x \lesssim 12$, although deviations may occur above this. We have verified that the distribution at given values of $\mathbf{k}$ and t also is exponential.

One important consequence of an exponentially distributed intensity is that the average scattered intensity at $(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is equal to the standard deviation of that intensity. This property can be used to simplify the normalized correlation function

$$\text{Corr}(\mathbf{k}, t_1, t_2) = \frac{\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1)S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle - \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1) \rangle \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle S^2(\mathbf{k}, t_1) \rangle - \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1) \rangle^2} \sqrt{\langle S^2(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle - \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle^2}} \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1)S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle - \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1) \rangle \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle}{\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_1) \rangle \langle S(\mathbf{k}, t_2) \rangle}, \quad (11)$$

which has a value between -1 and 1 by construction. At $t_1 = t_2$, the same-time limit, the exponential nature of s makes the correlation unity as one would expect. As the two measurement times become widely separated, the values of the intensity become independent and the correlation decays to zero. For this relaxational system negative correlations are not expected. The scaling ansatz extended to this situation allows comparison of the scattering at different $\mathbf{k}$ and t by

$$\text{Corr}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{\langle F(\theta_1)F(\theta_2) \rangle}{\langle F(\theta_1) \rangle \langle F(\theta_2) \rangle} - 1, \quad (12)$$

where $\theta_{1,2} = 2k^2 t_{1,2}$ are the rescaled times from Eq. (2). The ansatz has been tested with the simulation data. Because of the amount of data involved, it was necessary to bin the results. The results for $\langle F(\theta) \rangle$ were binned by t and θ . For each pair of times, the value of $\langle F(\theta_1)F(\theta_2) \rangle$ for a single $\mathbf{k}$ was found and then placed into a bin in the (θ_1, θ_2) plane. The average result for each bin was later found. The loss of precision from this procedure should not significantly affect the results. This function is most easily viewed as a contour plot in the (θ_1, θ_2) plane, as in Fig. 4. In this figure a $\text{Corr}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1$ contour, which is not shown, extends along the diagonal. Moving away from that line, contours at values of 0.5 , 0.1 , and 0.025 are shown. Scatter in the data becomes dominant for values less than this. The striking feature of this figure is that the correlation function becomes “broader” for later times, *i.e.* the correlation time for the speckle intensity becomes longer as the phase ordering proceeds.

An alternative set of variables for this problem are $\tau = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$ and $\delta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$. A constant value of τ corresponds to a line perpendicular to the $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ diagonal, while δ measures the distance (in units of scaled time) away from the diagonal. For a given value of τ , one can measure the characteristic time difference, δ_c , required for the correlation to

decay to a value of $1/2$. The values of δ_c estimated by linear interpolation from the simulation results are presented in log-log form in Fig. 5. For large values of τ , the relationship appears to be a power law. The solid line represents a least-squares fit which gives an exponent of 0.507 ± 0.002 . Analytic arguments indicating that the exponent is exactly $1/2$ will be presented elsewhere [9]. Furthermore, at these τ the correlation as a function of δ can be collapsed onto a single master curve using δ_c . The results for a wide range of τ are presented in Fig. 6, where the interpolated value of δ_c has been used. The collapse of the data is quite good, indicating that at large τ the correlations can indeed be described by a single curve.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Intensity fluctuations in the scattering of coherent light from a two-dimensional system undergoing an order-disorder transition have been investigated by numerical simulation. The ratio of the measured intensity at given $(\mathbf{k}, t)$ to its mean value at the same $(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is found to be an exponentially distributed random number for the dynamically evolving system. The two-time intensity correlation function is found to obey scaling. At late times, its shape in the direction perpendicular to the $t_1 = t_2$ line, in units of $k^2(t_2 - t_1)$, is constant except for a characteristic time difference which increases as the system evolves. This characteristic decay time is found to scale as $k(t_1 + t_2)^{1/2}$.

Recently we have found that when the domain size is much smaller than the system size, the speckle correlation function defined in Eq. (10) can be related to the two-time correlation function of the order parameter in real space. Theories for this latter function exist [10,11]. We are currently analyzing these theories in terms of the predictions made above and investigating how coherent X-ray scattering could be used to test these theories [9].

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate useful discussions with E. Dufresne, M. Sutton, and B. Morin. P. A. R. is grateful for hospitality and support at McGill and Kyoto Universities. This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, *les Fonds pour la Formation de Chercheurs et l'Aide à la Recherche de Québec*, and by the Florida State University (FSU) Center for Materials Research and Technology, the FSU Supercomputer Computations Research Institute under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-FC05-85ER25000, and by U.S. National Science Foundation grants No. DMR-9315969 and INT-9512679.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Chu, *Laser Light Scattering* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [2] S. B. Dierker *et al.*, Physical Review Letters 75 (1995) 449.
- [3] B. Chu *et al.*, Langmuir 11 (1995) 1419.
- [4] S. Brauer *et al.*, Physical Review Letters 74 (1995) 2010.
- [5] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, Reviews of Modern Physics 49 (1977) 435.
- [6] E. Dufresne, Ph.D. thesis, McGill, Canada (1996).
- [7] E. Hernández-García and M. Grant, Journal of Physics A 25 (1992) L1355.
- [8] A. J. C. Wilson, Acta Crystallographica 2 (1949) 318.
- [9] G. Brown, *et al.*, in preparation.
- [10] C. Yeung and D. Jasnow, Physical Review B 42 (1990) 10523.
- [11] F. Liu and G. F. Mazenko, Physical Review B 44 (1991) 9185.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Example of the speckled scattering intensity from one measurement of the simulation.

This is a log-scale intensity plot, with lighter shades indicating brighter speckles. The $\mathbf{k} = 0$ origin is at the center of the figure. Speckles do not shift in $\mathbf{k}$ -space, but their intensities fluctuate strongly around the $(\mathbf{k}, t)$ dependent average value.

Fig. 2 Example of the evolution of certain speckles with time. This is a cut along the $k_y = 0$

axis for one simulation; the intensity scale matches that for Fig. 1. The earliest time is at the bottom of the figure and time increases to the latest simulation time at the top. $k_x = 0$ is the left hand column and k_x increases towards the right. From this figure it is clear that individual speckles do not move in $\mathbf{k}$ -space and that neighboring speckles are relatively uncorrelated. The fluctuations in the speckle intensity are more apparent at higher wavevectors.

Fig. 3 The probability density for the normalized speckle intensity, $s = S(\mathbf{k}, t)/\langle S(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle$.

The solid line is the theoretical density of an exponentially distributed random variable.

Fig. 4 Contour plot of the scaled two-time correlation function. The correlation at $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ is

unity by construction and is not shown. The contours moving away from this diagonal are at 0.5, 0.1, and 0.25. The figure shows that the speckle intensity stays correlated longer as $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ increases.

Fig. 5 The characteristic decay time difference, δ_c , as a function of $\tau \propto t_1 + t_2$. For larger

values of τ , the relationship is seen to be a power law. The solid line is a least-squares fit which finds $\delta_c \sim \tau^{0.507 \pm 0.002}$.

Fig. 6 Collapse of the intensity-intensity correlation for different values of $\tau \propto t_1 + t_2$, where

$\delta \propto t_2 - t_1$ and δ_c is shown in Fig. 5. The figure shows that the correlation can be collapsed onto a single curve for a large range of τ .











